27

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6		
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	CACHELLA DENHAMINI	
9	CASHELLA BENJAMIN,	No. C 15-02995 JSW
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO
11	V.	INFORM COURT WHETHER SHE CONSENTS TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE
12	CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Commissioner of Social Security,	FOR ALL PURPOSES
13	Defendant.	
14		
15	In cases initially assigned to a district judg	ge, the parties may consent at any time to
1617	reassignment of the case to a magistrate judge for all purposes, including entry of final judgment. See Civil L.R. 73-1(b). Defendant has filed consent. Plaintiff is hereby DIRECTED to advise the Court, no later than July 27, 2015, as to whether she consents to have a magistrate judge conduct all further proceedings in the instant action. For the parties' convenience, consent forms are available	
18		
19		
20		
21	at http://www.cand.uscourts.gov, in the "Forms" section. The parties are further advised that they	
22	may jointly request assignment to a specific magi-	strate judge.
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.	Ou lite
24	Dated: July 16, 2015	JEFFREYS: WHITE
25		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
26		

¹ Normally, the Court would direct the parties to so inform the Court in their joint case management statement filed in connection with a case management conference. Because the instant action involves a review of an administrative record, however, a case management conference has not been scheduled.